UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,000	11/17/2006	Nadir Arber	27627U	4539
20529 THE NATH LA	7590 10/14/201 AW GROUP	1	EXAMINER	
112 South West	t Street		BORI, IBRAHIM D	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/589,000	ARBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		IBRAHIM D. BORI	1629				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>							
Status	<b>S</b>						
11	$\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fe</u>	phruary 2011					
2a)	· · · · · <u></u>	action is non-final.					
<b>.</b>	An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during the	e interview on			
ارد		•	•	C IIIICI VICVV OII			
4)	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.  4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
7/1	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	·		711101113 13			
Diama	·	x parte Quayle, 1000 G.D. 11, 40	.0 O.G. 210.				
-	sition of Claims						
6)  7)  8)	5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,9-15, 21,23-25 and 32 is/are pending in the application.  5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5, 9-15, 21,23-25 and 32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachr	nent(s)						
1)	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claims 1, 3-5, 9-11, 21, 23, 24 and 25 drawn to a method of treating an inflammatory disease, an inflammatory disorder or a cancer selected from the list disclosed therein.
- Group II, claims 12-15, drawn to a method for inhibiting cancer cell growth.
- Group III, claim 32, drawn to a combination of two pharmaceutical compositions.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of the claimed invention is use of a combination therapy in a method to treat inflammatory disease comprising administering curcumin and NSAID. This special technical feature does not define a contribution over prior art as evidenced by *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.*, 2002, 94(4), 252-266, to Thun et al (hereinafter "Thun", cited by the Applicants and submitted by the Applicants in the Instant Application). Thun teaches a combination of sulindae and curcumin for

treating colon cancer. There is lack of unity *a posteriori*. Therefore, the claims lack novel technical feature and are not so linked as to form an invention.

## Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. Specific inflammatory disease, disorder or a cancer. Applicants must elect a single disease. For example, disease listed on claims 1, 21, 23, and 32.

B. *NSAID*. Applicants must elect a single NSAID. For example, NSAID listed on claims 1, 3, 10, 11, 12 and 32.

Applicants are required to elect <u>a single disease</u>, and a single NSAID commensurate with the elected invention. For example, claim 1 indicates that the disease is selected from a group consisting of the list disclosed therein. Should Applicants elect Group I, Applicants should then identify the one <u>single disease</u>, and <u>single NSAID</u> by their proper chemical name and by their proper chemical structure and any other identifiers, and indicate which claims encompass the elected species.

Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to **elect a single species** to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Page 4

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species listed above are not regarded as being of similar nature because each disease has distinct pathologic features.

Applicant are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicants may

submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

# Time for Reply

Applicant is reminded that 1-month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for reply when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program. MPEP § 809.02(a).

## Correction of Inventorship

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,000

Art Unit: 1629

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to IBRAHIM D. BORI whose telephone number is

(571)270-7020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday

8:00AM-5:00PM(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JEFFREY S. LUNDGREN can be reached on 571-272-5541. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/IBRAHIM D BORI/

Examiner, Art Unit 1629

/Jeffrey S. Lundgren/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1629